

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,754	03/25/2004	Masahiro Hashimoto	13726Z	5870	
23389 7590 64/24/2008 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			TRAN, PHUOC		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/808,754	HASHIMOTO, MASAHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Phuoc Tran	2624	

1 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	the
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension at have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2 set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely fill may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee 2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date	of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS	ice a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):	
(c) They raise the laste of new matter (see NOTE below),     (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The nonstatutory double patenting rejection.	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling t non-allowable claim(s)	
7. \( \subseteq  for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \( \subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.7 and 13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ınd
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CF1.3(3)(f)1.	а
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
13. Other:	
/Phuoc Tran/	
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Conover does not disclose or suggest correcting a level of a DCT coefficient selected from the changed DCT coefficients in the block, excluding the at least one changed DCT coefficient, to produce corrected DCT coefficients, as recited in claims 1 and 7 of the present application is not persuasive. In reply, Conover teaches, at column 7, lines 624, column 9, lines 27-33, column 10, lines 644, column 11, lines 11-36, that various modifications or changes can be applied to DCT coefficients to produce changed DCT coefficients. Conover teaches, at column 11, lines 37-44, on column 12, lines 38-45, that the magnitude of a DCT coefficient in an image block (i.e., lines 38-45, that the magnitude of a DCT coefficient in an image block (i.e., and change) changed DCT coefficients and inherently excluding at least one other changed DCT coefficient) is modified so that the total code length remains the same.